

---

**From:** Barr, James (FTA)  
**To:** 'Pua.Aiu@hawaii.gov'  
**CC:** Goins, Kimberly (FTA); Bausch, Carl (FTA); Zelasko, Elizabeth (FTA); bsemmer@achp.gov; cvaughn@achp.gov; Matley, Ted (FTA); Sukys, Raymond (FTA); Marler, Renee (FTA); Bausch, Carl (FTA)  
**Sent:** 2/24/2010 3:14:38 AM  
**Subject:** RE: ACHP review of Honolulu PA  
**Attachments:** FTA PA of 121709.achp edits2 cww comments.doc

Pua:  
Attached is Draft PA with ACHP management and FTA legal staff mark-ups. SHPO can take under advisement or we can discuss Monday.  
Jim

---

**From:** Barr, James (FTA)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 24, 2010 8:06 AM  
**To:** 'Pua.Aiu@hawaii.gov'; bsemmer@achp.gov; cvaughn@achp.gov  
**Cc:** Goins, Kimberly (FTA); Bausch, Carl (FTA); Zelasko, Elizabeth (FTA)  
**Subject:** RE: ACHP review of Honolulu PA

Pua:  
Monday is good. Let us know what time.  
We can meet at ACHP.  
I just got the Draft PA back from our legal staff – a bit more progress.  
Thanks;  
Jim

---

**From:** Pua.Aiu@hawaii.gov [mailto:Pua.Aiu@hawaii.gov]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 23, 2010 4:59 PM  
**To:** Barr, James (FTA); bsemmer@achp.gov; cvaughn@achp.gov  
**Subject:** RE: ACHP review of Honolulu PA

How about we meet on Monday, since I am pretty open on that day. If we can meet at ACHP that would be good because I should see some other people there, but if not, its okay. Just let me know where.

Pua

<James.Barr@dot.gov>

02/19/2010 08:58 AM

To <Pua.Aiu@hawaii.gov>  
cc <bsemmer@achp.gov>, <Carl.Bausch1111@dot.gov>, <cvaughn@achp.gov>, <James.Ryan@dot.gov>, <Nancy.A.McMahon@hawaii.gov>, <randall.y.young@navy.mil>, <Raymond.Sukys@dot.gov>, <Ted.Matley@dot.gov>  
Subject RE: ACHP review of Honolulu PA

Thanks, Pua.  
I am available on any of those days at your convenience.  
Jim

AR00116059

**From:** Pua.Aiu@hawaii.gov [mailto:Pua.Aiu@hawaii.gov]

**Sent:** Friday, February 19, 2010 1:54 PM

**To:** Barr, James (FTA)

**Cc:** bsemmer@achp.gov; Bausch, Carl (FTA); cvaughn@achp.gov; Ryan, James (FTA); Nancy.A.McMahon@hawaii.gov; randall.y.young@navy.mil; Sukys, Raymond (FTA); Matley, Ted (FTA)

**Subject:** RE: ACHP review of Honolulu PA

James,

I will be in DC from March 1-4, if you want to do a face-to-face meeting, Blythe said she would be open to that, too.

Pua

<James.Barr@dot.gov>

02/19/2010 04:24 AM

To <bsemmer@achp.gov>, <Pua.Aiu@hawaii.gov>

cc <Pua.Aiu@hawaii.gov>, <Nancy.A.McMahon@hawaii.gov>, <cvaughn@achp.gov>, <Ted.Matley@dot.gov>, <randall.y.young@navy.mil>, <Carl.Bausch1111@dot.gov>, <James.Ryan@dot.gov>, <Raymond.Sukys@dot.gov>

Subject RE: ACHP review of Honolulu PA

Blythe and Pua:

I wanted to bring you up to date on the status of our Honolulu 106 PA.

After receiving ACHP management review and comment on the Draft 106 PA, FTA staff is still awaiting legal sufficiency review from management. Our management are concerned that the document may change substantially after FTA review and approval because of alignment issues at the airport and the status of the Makalapa Housing District.

If another alignment is chosen at the Airport, additional historic resources may be affected. We have asked HTS to identify alternative alignments that may be acceptable HDOT and FAA and any associated 106 properties. FTA staff do not believe that the choice of an alternative alignment at the Airport will require substantive changes to the Draft 106 PA.

The issue of Makalapa/Little Makalapa is more nuanced, and we need resolution from the U.S. Navy and SHPO on this matter.

If any of the Signatories feel the need for a conference call on these matters please advise.

Thank you for your patience.

Best regards;

Jim

**From:** Blythe Semmer [mailto:bsemmer@achp.gov]

**Sent:** Tuesday, January 19, 2010 11:46 AM

**To:** Barr, James (FTA); Matley, Ted (FTA)

**Cc:** Pua.Aiu@hawaii.gov; Nancy.A.McMahon@hawaii.gov; Charlene Vaughn

**Subject:** ACHP review of Honolulu PA

Jim and Ted:

The ACHP has completed a thorough internal review of the PA draft provided by FTA on December 17. While the draft overall did not raise any red flags, we have noted on the attached redline a number of questions for clarification and some recommended edits. These changes are directed at developing a document that will avoid ambiguity and establish a clear process for implementation of each of the stipulations.

Please let me know if you have questions about any of our comments. We will need to see a final, revised draft to ensure that the clean document is sufficient from a legal review standpoint. After completing the legal review, we can officially endorse the PA and discuss with FTA and SHPD the next steps for executing the document.

AR00116060

Best regards,  
Blythe

**Blythe Semmer**

Program Analyst  
Advisory Council on Historic Preservation  
202.606.8552  
202.606.5072 fax